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1. Introduction

Emerging flexible and stretchable electronics technologies are expected to disrupt many conventional devices such as displays [1], robotics [2, 3], wearables [4, 5], implantables [6], energy generators [7], etc attributing to their thinness, softness, ruggedness and lightweight. Particularly important in this field is to develop materials and structures that can maintain electronic functionality under large, cyclic deformations. Besides structurally designing conventional semiconducting and metallic materials into stretchable shapes [8], intrinsically deformable functional nanomaterials emerge as popular alternatives [6, 9]. Nanomaterials used in soft electronics include carbon nanotubes (CNTs) [10–15], metal nanowires (NWs) [13, 14], two-dimensional (2D) materials [15–17], and many more. Among them, graphene is the thinnest material (0.34 nm) that is also highly conductive both electrically and
thermally, optically transparent, mechanically robust, biocompatible, and potentially low cost \cite{18,20,21}. As a result, graphene has been widely applied as the electrode material in soft electronics, optics, sensors, and energy devices \cite{22,23} as well as the thermal dissipator for power devices \cite{24,25}.

While each of these applications exploits a different fundamental property of graphene, they all depend on its mechanical integrity for structural reliability and device performances \cite{26,27,28,29,30,31}. The mechanical behaviors of suspended graphene have been well studied \cite{18,32,33}. Through nanoindentation, the pioneering work by Lee et al found the pristine monocrystalline graphene to be the strongest material ever measured \cite{18}. Specifically, the Young’s modulus of pristine graphene is found to be \(~1\) TPa, with a strength that can approach 130 GPa, and a surprising fracture strain up to 25% \cite{18}. Defective graphene is more commonly used for electronics since graphene made with scalable fabrication techniques inevitably contain defects such as grain boundary, vacancies, and so on \cite{34}. Interestingly, defects such as vacancies and oxygen-containing groups can even make graphene more damage-tolerant at least in the nanoindentation tests \cite{35,36}.

In practice, graphene is most often supported by a deformable substrate in soft (i.e. flexible and stretchable) electronic devices \cite{23,37}. Therefore, the stretchability of polymer-supported CVD graphene has also been studied. Table 1 summarizes available results in the literature \cite{38–44}. In this table, we only focus on CVD graphene sheets although there exist other forms of graphene, such as graphene foam \cite{45}, graphene nanowalls \cite{46}, graphene scrolls \cite{44}, and graphene woven fabrics \cite{47}, etc. Depending on the type of substrate, the number of graphene layers, the boundary condition, and the criterion to extract stretchability, the reported stretchability of graphene vary significantly, from 2% up to 68%. So far, the easiest method for stretchability measurement involves \textit{in situ} electrical resistance measurement on graphene while stretching the substrate. Stretchability can be identified as the strain when the resistance of deformed graphene normalized by the undeformed graphene \((R/R_0)\) reaches 10 or 20. The biggest discrepancy comes from the different strain quantification methods for graphene. It is well known that generally, graphene has weak interaction with the underlying substrate and hence can easily slide against the substrate when the substrate is deformed \cite{48–51}. As a result, the strain transfer from substrate to graphene can be very limited and vary significantly from case to case \cite{52,53}. The interface sliding may lead to higher apparent stretchability for unclamped graphene when substrate strain is used to report stretchability \cite{38–40}. By contrast, when graphene is clamped end-to-end \cite{41,42} or local strain in graphene is measured using digital image correlation (DIC) method \cite{43}, the reported stretchability of graphene is limited to 10%. So far, only polymer substrates with hundred-micron thickness have been used. However, with the emergence of epidermal electronics such as the graphene electronic tattoos (GETs) \cite{54,55}, graphene can be supported by much thinner substrates such as submicron-thick poly(methyl methacrylate) (PMMA) to achieve ultimate conformability and imperceptibility on human skin. The stretchability and failure mechanism of such graphene-on-ultrathin-PMMA are still unclear. Moreover, graphene has to make electrical contacts with other conductors in practice but the stretchability of such contacts has never been investigated.

Herein, we fabricated monolayer CVD graphene on 300 nm-thick PMMA substrate, which has been successfully applied as GETs \cite{54,55}. Due to the ultrathin nature of the specimen, we placed the Gr/PMMA ribbon on a soft 3M Tegaderm tape for easier handling. We clamped and stretched four straight Gr/PMMA ribbons uniaxially with \textit{in situ} electrical resistance measurement. Using \textit{R}/\textit{R}_0 = 20 as the criterion to extract stretchability, our Gr/PMMA stretchability was measured to be 14.5% \pm 1.1%, 45% higher than previously reported stretchability of Gr/PET specimens \cite{42}. For microstructure characterization, we used \textit{in situ} Raman spectroscopy and high-power optical microscope. Four different stages of deformation and fracture can be clearly identified combining the electrical resistance measurements and microstructure analysis. To investigate the stretchability of electrical contacts with graphene, we laminated gold/polyethylene terephthalate (Au/PET) or Gr/PMMA over Gr/PMMA and conducted electromechanical measurements and microstructure examination similar to the Gr/PMMA ribbons. We found very distinct behaviors for those two different types of electrical contacts. We also carried out cyclic tests on Gr/PMMA under small and large strain levels and studied the effects of PMMA thickness and Tegaderm adhesive.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 provides the main results regarding specimen fabrication, electromechanical tests, \textit{semi-in situ} microstructure analysis, and the stretchability of electrical contacts of Gr/PMMA. Section 3 discusses the cyclic behavior under small (2%) and large (8%) strains, the effect of PMMA thickness, and the effect of Tegaderm adhesive. Concluding remarks are offered in section 4. Section 5 has more detailed information on the experimental methods. This paper is also supported by ten supplementary figures and three supplementary videos.

2. Results

2.1. Fabrication of Gr/PMMA specimen

Large area CVD monolayer graphene grown on copper (Cu) foil was obtained from Chongqing Graphene Tech Co. To confirm the continuity of the monolayer graphene, Raman spectra of randomly chosen spots on the as purchased graphene and Raman mapping on a large area (80 \(\mu\)m \(\times\) 80 \(\mu\)m) were performed and the \textit{I}_2D/\textit{I}_G ratio was identified (figure S1 (stacks.iop.org/
Table 1. A survey of the stretchability of CVD graphene on different polymer substrates under different strain quantification methods [38–44].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>References</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th># of layers of CVD graphene</th>
<th>Strain quantification method</th>
<th>Criteria of stretchability</th>
<th>Stretchability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al [40]</td>
<td>PDMS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Graphene NOT clamped end-to-end</td>
<td>Failure (no $R - \varepsilon$ curve)</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verma et al [38]</td>
<td>50 µm PET</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Graphene NOT clamped end-to-end</td>
<td>$R/R_0 = 10$</td>
<td>-42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al [41]</td>
<td>PDMS</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Graphene clamped end-to-end</td>
<td>Comparable mobilities of electrons and holes (no $R - \varepsilon$ curve)</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Won et al [43]</td>
<td>188 µm PET</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Graphene strain measured by DIC</td>
<td>$(R - R_0)/R_0 = 10$</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al [42]</td>
<td>188 µm PET</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Graphene clamped end-to-end</td>
<td>$R/R_0 = 20$</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu et al [44]</td>
<td>0.1 mm SEBS</td>
<td>2, 3</td>
<td>Specimen mounting and strain definition unclear</td>
<td>$R/R_0 = 20$</td>
<td>-30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chun et al [39]</td>
<td>120 µm PDMS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Graphene NOT clamped end-to-end</td>
<td>$\Delta R/R_0 - 8.5$</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current work</td>
<td>300 nm PMMA on 47 µm Tegaderm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Graphene clamped end-to-end</td>
<td>$R/R_0 = 20$</td>
<td>14.5% ± 1.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The monolayer coverage was measured to be 97.9%, which is comparable with other lab-grown CVD monolayer graphene [21, 56, 57]. According to the graphene manufacturer, the grains size of this CVD graphene is 3–8 µm (figure S2). The fabrication process of the testing specimen is illustrated in figure 1. First, 300 nm-thick PMMA was spin-coated and cured on as-purchased CVD graphene on Cu foil (figure 1(a)). The specimen was then placed in ferric chloride (FeCl₃) for Cu etching (figure 1(b)) and transferred onto a commercial tattoo paper (Temporary tattoo paper, Silhouette) (figure 1(c)) which allows easy transfer and printing of Gr/PMMA onto arbitrary substrates. A razor blade was used to press-cut the Gr/PMMA sheet into a rectangular ribbon of 2 mm × 35 mm (figure 1(d)). Then, the extraneous area was manually peeled off using tweezers (figure 1(e)). The Gr/PMMA ribbon was transferred onto a 47 µm-thick stretchable substrate, the 3M Tegaderm tape (figure 1(f)). The sheet resistance of the transferred specimen was measured to be 1291 ± 7.9 Ω sq⁻¹, which is comparable to the sheet resistance of many monolayer CVD graphene transferred on foreign substrates in the literature [38, 44, 58]. More details in graphene characterization and specimen preparation can be found in section 5.

2.2. Stretchability and electromechanical behavior of Gr/PMMA ribbons

To investigate the stretchability and electromechanical behaviors of Gr/PMMA ribbons, we used a homemade stretcher with gear motor (TS-32GZ370-5300, Tsiny) to apply uniaxial tension and a data acquisition (DAQ) system (NI Elvis II) to measure electrical resistance in situ (figure 2(a)). The Gr/PMMA ribbon supported by a 3M Tegaderm tape was clamped end-to-end to ensure that the applied strain was completely imposed on the ribbon specimen. The metal-based clamps of the stretcher were covered by double-sided tape (DST) for electrical insulation and mechanical buffer between the rigid clamps and the Gr/PMMA ribbon. The adhesive on the DST prevented the slippage of the specimen during tension. Two flexible Au/PET (100 nm-thick Au on 12.7 µm-thick PET) ribbons were clamped together with Gr/PMMA at each end with Au touching graphene and alligator clips directly clipped on the extended parts of the Au/PET ribbons. The gauge length was 25 mm and the Gr/PMMA and Tegaderm width was 2 mm and 25 mm, respectively. The specimen was stretched uniaxially under a strain rate of 5.2 × 10⁻⁴ s⁻¹. According to a two dimensional (2D) finite element analysis (FEA) of an assumed intact specimen (with Tegaderm) subjected to applied strains up to 20%, the majority of the Gr/PMMA ribbon undergoes uniform uniaxial strain as large as the applied strain (figure S3). It implies that such experimental set up allows almost all applied strains to be imposed on the ribbon specimen if the specimen were not cracking.

The electromechanical results of the uniaxial tensile tests are presented in figure 2(b), which plots the electrical resistance of the graphene ribbon normalized by its initial resistance (R/R₀) as a function of the applied strain till the ribbon fully fractures, i.e. when the resistance blows up. Raw data is plotted as the black curve. Fluctuations in the raw data could result from cracking in graphene. The raw data represented by the black curve was smoothed out to be the black curve using an adjacent-averaging method. Experimentally measured R/R₀ versus ε_app curves of three more specimens are offered in figure S4. They show similar characteristics with the curve in figure 2(b) but have slightly different rupture strains. If we define stretchability using the criterion R/R₀ = 20, the average stretchability of the four Gr/PMMA ribbons we tested is 14.5% with a standard deviation of 1.1%. It is 45% higher than pre-
previously reported Gr/PET specimens under the same criterion \[42, 43\] and the explanation will be offered after examining the microstructures of the deformed specimens. In figure 2(c), the slope of the smoothed \( R/R_0 \) versus \( \varepsilon_{\text{app}} \) curve defined as \( d(R/R_0)/d\varepsilon_{\text{app}} \) is plotted as the red curve and the widely used gauge factor \( GF = (\Delta R/R_0)/\varepsilon_{\text{app}} \) is plotted as the blue curve although \( GF \) does not mean much for a nonlinear \( R/R_0 \) versus \( \varepsilon_{\text{app}} \) curve. Despite the continuous growth of graphene resistance, the slope of the resistance curve is nonmonotonic. Focusing on the red curve in figure 2(c), the slope starts from 0 but grows rapidly till an applied strain of 2.5% where the slope starts to decrease. The slope exhibits a U-shape till an applied strain of 15% where rapid growth kicks in again. Combining the slope analysis and the microstructure analysis in the next section, we have characterized the Gr/PMMA deformation and fracture process into four distinct stages as illustrated in figure 2(d). Stage I (0%–0.9%) is the pre-cracking elastic deformation stage and grain boundaries (blue) and defects (red) in graphene are illustrated. Stage II (0.9%–2.5%) is the stage when limited number of micro-cracks appear and grow to a limited length (~3 \( \mu \)m) in graphene and then halted, which is therefore named the ‘stage of limited micro-cracking in graphene’. Stage III (2.5%–8%) is the stage when many new micro-cracks initiate and grow in graphene, which is therefore called the ‘stage of extensive cracking in graphene’. Stage IV (>8%) is the stage of macro-cracking of PMMA and hence graphene till a complete electrical failure. In Stage IV, the raw resistance curve (black curve in figure 2(b)) exhibits increasing fluctuation possible due to the macro-cracking of PMMA and hence graphene. The argument for Stages II and III comes from a previous stretchability study of monolayer CVD graphene on PET \[42\]. Through a careful study of how crack length and crack number evolve with strain, it concluded that...
Micro-cracks in graphene start to form at ~1% and they grow to a critical size (~3 µm) at ~2.5%; beyond 2.5%, existing cracks stop growing but new cracks start to initiate and grow; beyond 8%, many cracks grow beyond 3 µm. In the next section, we will present our own semi-in situ Raman and optical micrographs to further justify our proposed four-stage deformation and failure of Gr/PMMA, especially at large strains.

2.3. Microstructure analysis of Gr/PMMA

To understand the measured change of resistance during the stretch, we carried out in situ microstructure analysis by Raman mapping and high power optical microscope. The measurements were performed by placing the specimen on a customized low-profile stretcher directly under Raman and optical microscopes. Figure 3 offers the Raman mapping results within one grain of the graphene (20 µm × 20 µm) at applied strains 0%, 2% and 4%. The 2D peak position of undeformed graphene was found to be 2713 cm⁻¹ from figure S1, and it was used as the 2D peak position at 0% of the applied strain. The 2D peak shift was converted to uniaxial strain using their linear relationship [59] and both are labeled in the color code of figure 3. According to the Raman mapping, redshifts of 2D peak were present on the edges of PMMA. Yellow dashed lines highlight the edges of PMMA. Black boxes offer blown-up views of graphene cracks.

Figure 3. Raman mapping over 20 µm × 20 µm graphene at 0%, 2% and 4% of applied strains. Black arrows indicate the direction of stretching. Blueshift and redshift indicate tension and compression, respectively.

Figure 4. High-power optical micrographs of the Gr/PMMA under 500× (first and third rows) and 2000× (second and fourth rows) magnifications at (a) 0%, (b) 4%, (c) 10% and (d) 16% of applied strains (εapp). Tensile strain was applied along the vertical direction of the micrographs. Yellow dashed lines highlight the edges of PMMA. Black boxes offer blown-up views of graphene cracks.
graphene from the beginning, which corresponds to an average compressive strain of 0.24%. This residual compressive strain could be attributed to the transfer or specimen mounting processes. Under 2% of applied strain, the average strain in graphene became 0.083% in compression and some region of graphene was stretched up to 1.04% of strain in tension, implying that overall the graphene layer was stretched but the applied strain was not fully experienced by the graphene. As graphene was clamped end-to-end, the small strain in graphene can be attributed to three possible mechanisms: (1) flattening of ripples, (2) the micro-cracking in graphene, and (3) graphene sliding against PMMA. As the applied strain further increased, however, average strain in graphene dropped to 0.32% in compression possibly due to more significant sliding.

For optical microscopic analysis, we first confirmed that graphene near the edges of the grippers did not crack before the central region and thereafter we always focused our observation around the central region of the specimen. At large strains, we identified two distinct types of cracks, the micro-cracks of graphene and the macro-cracks of PMMA, employing the contrast difference in the optical micrographs under high magnifications (figures 4, S5–S8, and supplementary videos 1–3). Among many micrographs with repetitive behaviors, figure 4 showcases the representative micrographs of the Gr/PMMA ribbon under different strain levels. Two sets of micrographs of unstretched graphene (0%) are exhibited in figure 4(a). The small boxes indicate the regions to be magnified and the corresponding blown-up views are located right below. Although full of ripples inherited from the grain boundaries of the growth Cu foil, no cracks can be observed in graphene at 0%. When the Gr/PMMA ribbon was stretched vertically by 4%, micro-cracks of graphene in the size of tens of microns became visible in the black dashed boxes within the magnified views (figure 4(b)). At higher applied strains (10% and 16%), macro-cracks of 300 nm-thick PMMA in the size of sub-milimeters were observable and the yellow dashed lines highlight the macro-crack and edge of PMMA (figure 4(c)). At a given applied strain, the micro-cracks of the graphene are bigger and denser near the crack tips of PMMA, which is evidenced in supplementary videos 1 and 2. They further grew with increasing applied strain (figures 4(c) and (d)). As electrical current can still flow through the Gr/PMMA ribbon as long as a conductive path can be found, electrical resistance was still measurable even with those micro- and macro-cracks. Finally, the macro-cracks interconnected with each other, forming a complete transverse rupture of the whole ribbon, which completely cut off the current flow and killed the electrical resistance.

Combining the microstructure analysis with the electromechanical measurement results in section 2.1, we are able to classify and justify the deformation and fracture of the Gr/PMMA ribbon into four distinct stages as illustrated by the schematics in figure 2(d). Stage I is before the applied strain reaches 0.9%, up to which point there is no significant change in resistance. We hypothesize that the compressive residual strain in the unstrained graphene during the wet-etch and transfer process releases in this stage [60] and initial ripples in the specimen get flattened, and therefore the resistance change is insignificant. Although the grain boundaries (GBs) of the graphene are represented by blue hexagons for Stage I schematic in figure 2(d), in reality, the GBs should be misoriented and overlapped [34]. Moreover, structural defects such as the non-homogenous size of the carbon hexagons and irregular geometrical shapes (polygons) are not reflected in this schematic. Getting into Stage II, the resistance elevates rapidly up to 2.5% of applied strain possibly due to the formation of similar-sized micro-cracks in graphene as illustrated by the red-highlighted zones in Stage II schematic of figure 2(d) according to [42]. From 2.5% to 8%, in Stage III, the slope of $R/R_0$ versus $\varepsilon_{app}$ curve decreases as a result of substantial strain relaxation due to sliding [42], despite the initiation and growth of new micro-cracks as illustrated in Stage III schematic of figure 2(d). Beyond 8% is Stage IV, where the slope of $R/R_0$ versus $\varepsilon_{app}$ curve starts to increase due to the macro-cracking of PMMA and hence graphene as illustrated by figure 2(d) Stage IV schematic. This statement is supported by micrographs in figures 4(c) and (d), supplementary figures S5–S8, and supplementary videos 1–3. The resistance increases abruptly beyond 14.5% and the ribbon breaks completely around 19% of the applied strain. Based on a consistent fracture criterion, i.e. $R/R_0 = 20$, the stretchability of our Gr/PMMA ribbon is 14.5% ± 1.1%, 45% higher than that of PET-supported graphene [42]. The enhancement in stretchability can be attributed to the macro-cracks in PMMA. In fact, strategically designed cuts have been intentionally fabricated in kirigami nanocomposites [61] and auxetic metamaterials [62] to enhance their stretchability. This is because the tensile displacement can be accommodated by the opening of the pre-engineered cuts, which helps release strain in the intact region of the specimen. Based on our repeatability test over four different specimens (figure S4), the strain levels defining the stages vary a bit from specimen to specimen. Such variation can be attributed to the different microscopic and macroscopic defects in graphene caused by the CVD growing process, the metal etching process, the wet transfer process, the push-cut process, the final pasting process and/or the specimen mounting process.

As micro-cracks in graphene initiate at 0.9%, the three $R/R_0$ versus $\varepsilon_{app}$ loading-unloading curves in figure S9 are all irreversible. The extensive sliding between Gr and PMMA at large strains further enlarges the hysteresis in the loading-unloading curves. The cyclic behaviors of Gr/PMMA ribbons will be discussed in section 3.
2.4. Stretchability of electrical contacts with Gr/PMMA

In practice, graphene-based devices such as the graphene e-tattoos (GETs) [54, 55] have to connect with readout circuits through electrical contacts. Therefore, we tried to find out the stretchability of the electrical contacts with our Gr/PMMA ribbon. Two Au specimens were prepared through thermal evaporation and stretched to find out their intrinsic stretchability before contacting with graphene. The first Au specimen was 100-nm-thick Au on 10 nm-thick Cr on 300 nm-thick PMMA (Au/Cr/PMMA) which is the same PMMA as in the Gr/PMMA specimen. The second Au specimen was 100 nm-thick
Au on 13 µm-thick PET (Au/PET). Both of them were prepared to have the same in-plane dimensions as the Gr/PMMA specimens and measured the same way as the Gr/PMMA specimens. Their $R/R_0$ versus $\varepsilon_{\text{app}}$ curves are plotted together with the Gr/PMMA one in figure 5. It is evident that the Au/Gr/PMMA specimen fully ruptured at 1% whereas the Au/PET specimen failed at ~23%. This is consistent with the previous finding that the thicker and stiffer substrate better spreads the strain in the metal thin film hence affords much higher stretchability [63]. As a result, we chose the Au/PET ribbon to make electrical contact with Gr/PMMA because it is much more robust than the Au/Gr/PMMA and the Gr/PMMA specimen. Of course one can also use other stretchable electrical connectors based on PEDOT:PSS or polymer doped with AgNWs, CNTs, metal nanoparticles, etc [64, 65].

The stretchability of electrical contacts with Gr/PMMA is presented in figure 6. The 3D inset in figure 6(a) left panel illustrates the electrical contact between Gr/PMMA and Au/PET. An anisotropic conductive film (ACF, 3M 9703) was applied between graphene and Au for secure bonding. Uniaxial tension with in situ resistance measurement was carried out for this hybrid specimen and the $R/R_0$ versus $\varepsilon_{\text{app}}$ curve is plotted in figure 6(a) left panel. The micrograph of the interface at the fracture point (2.6%) is provided in the right panel of figure 6(a). It is evident that the Gr/PMMA ribbon ruptured along the edge of the Au/PET ribbon due to the significant mismatch in mechanical stiffness—1.24 N mm$^{-1}$ for Gr/PMMA and 46.9 N mm$^{-1}$ for Au/PET. To minimize the stiffness mismatch, we chose to apply a Gr/PMMA to bridge two disconnected Gr/PMMA ribbons as illustrated by the inset in figure 6(b) left panel. The Gr/PMMA ribbon was thin enough to make electrical contact with another Gr/PMMA ribbon via just van der Waals forces so no ACF was applied in this case. We will refer this specimen as the bridged Gr/PMMA specimen in the follows. Figure 6(b) plots the raw and smoothed $R/R_0$ versus $\varepsilon_{\text{app}}$ curves for this specimen together with that of a continuous Gr/PMMA specimen for comparison. Despite the large fluctuation in resistance, the bridged Gr/PMMA specimen exhibits slightly higher stretchability than the continuous Gr/PMMA specimen. Both the fluctuation in resistance and the larger stretchability can be attributed to the sliding between lower and upper graphene. Graphene-graphene sliding is easy to occur and has been widely observed and investigated [30, 53, 66, 67]. Both micro- and macro-cracks were visible in the lower Gr/PMMA ribbons and more cracks were concentrated near the edge of the interface as evident in the micrographs offered in the right panel of figure 6(b). Once the resistance started to change under applied strains, it was not reversible due to the crack formations (figure S10). However, compared to the loading and unloading curves of the continuous Gr/PMMA specimen (figure S9), the loading curves of the bridged Gr/PMMA specimen exhibits larger flat regions, which should result from the sliding between the graphene layers [43, 68]. The improved stretchability for Gr/PMMA to Gr/PMMA contact over Gr/PMMA to Au/PET contact demonstrates that minimizing mechanical stiffness mismatch is the key to enhancing the stretchability of such contacts.

3. Discussion

This section will provide some additional information regarding the stretchability of Gr/PMMA: the cyclic behavior, the effect of PMMA thickness, and the effect of Tegaderm adhesive.

3.1. Cyclic behavior of Gr/PMMA

Although the fatigue behavior of graphene composites has been well studied [69–71], the fatigue behavior of graphene on polymer has been rarely discussed [43]. We, therefore, carried out cyclic electromechanical
tests on Gr/PMMA ribbons from 0% up to two different strain levels—2% and 8%. The two strain levels represent two different stages in the deformation and failure process—2% is in State II when a limited number of micro-cracks appear in graphene but they tend not to grow beyond 3 µm [42]; 8% is the beginning of Stage IV when macro-cracks in PMMA emerge. The same strain rate of $1 \times 10^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$ was applied in both tests. Figure 7 plots the normalized resistance as a function of cyclic time (bottom axis) and number of cycles (top axis). For 2% of applied strain, the resistance of graphene exhibited a sharp rise upon first stretch followed by a gradual decay with growing number of cycles up to 10,000 cycles. The reason for such decay in resistance remains elusive for us at this moment. We speculate that it may have something to do with graphene sliding and buckling during the repetitive loading and unloading process. In contrast, the resistance of graphene continued to grow in the cyclic test up to 8% of applied strain and reached complete failure only after the 8th cycle (figure 7(b)). Multiple macro-cracks in PMMA were observable at the failure point. This is consistent with the known fatigue behavior of PMMA [72].

### 3.3. Effect of PMMA thickness

As a thermoplastic polymer, both ductile and brittle fracture modes exist in PMMA [73]. When the thickness of PMMA is increased, brittle fracture mode is favored [75]. To illustrate the effect of PMMA thickness, we also measured the stretchability of monolayer graphene and 100 nm-thick Au supported by a thicker PMMA (1 µm-thick), and the results are presented in figure 8. In figure 8(a), it is obvious that compared with the stretchability of graphene on 300 nm-thick PMMA (14.5%), the stretchability of graphene on 1 µm-thick PMMA is only 10% and the ribbon was failed by only one straight and brittle crack (inset of figure 8(a)). However, the effect of PMMA thickness on 100–nm-thick Au ribbon is quite the opposite as shown by figure 8(b)—the specimen with 300–nm-thick PMMA ruptured at 1% whereas that with 1 µm-thick PMMA ruptured at 1.4%. This is because both strains are way below the brittle fracture strain of the PMMA. Therefore, according to fracture mechanics of thin films, the thicker PMMA provided more substrate constraint on Au nanomembrane and hence helped enhance its stretchability.

### 4. Conclusion

To conclude, we fabricated 300 nm-thick Gr/PMMA ribbons suitable for the applications of stretchable and wearable electronics and measured its stretchability to be 14.5% ± 1.1% according to the criterion of $R/R_0 = 20$. The ribbon did not fully rupture until ~19% of the applied strain. Four distinct deformation/
fracture stages were successfully identified when combining the electromechanical measurement with the in situ microstructure analysis. Micro-cracks in graphene started to emerge at very low applied strains (~0.9%) and form similar-sized cracks until ~2.5% of the applied strain. After 2.5% of the applied strain, more micro-cracks initiated and propagated. Macro-cracks in PMMA developed after an applied strain of ~8%. Both sliding between graphene-PMMA and the macro-cracks in PMMA helped alleviate the tensile stress in graphene, resulting in smaller resistance rise and higher stretchability. But the resistance curves are irreversible due to such cracks. We also investigated the stretchability of different electrical contacts with the Gr/PMMA specimen and concluded that minimizing mechanical stiffness mismatch between the two contacting parts can effectively enhance the stretchability of these contacts. We discovered that Gr/PMMA can be highly cycleable up to 2% but not 8%. Although PMMA thickness has a significant effect on Gr/PMMA stretchability, the Tegaderm adhesive does not. This study provides a comprehensive understanding of the stretchability and fracture behaviors of graphene supported by ultrathin polymer substrates and their electrical contacts. Therefore, it offers useful insights for designing future graphene-based soft electronic devices.

5. Methods

5.1. Characterization of graphene
To verify the quality of the commercially available CVD monolayer graphene on Cu foil from Chongqing Graphene Tech Co. (a.k.a. Moxi Group), the CVD graphene was wet transferred onto a Si wafer and characterized by Renishaw inVia Raman microscope with 442 nm laser (figure S1). To confirm that it is indeed monolayer, the ratio of 2D peak intensity \(I_{2D}/I_G\) was measured and the band shape of 2D peak was verified. The value of \(I_{2D}/I_G\) was found out to be higher than 1 and 2D band showed a single peak without splitting [48, 57, 74–76], which are characteristics of monolayer graphene. We obtained a single 2D peak position at three different spots on the graphene as depicted in figure S1(a). Furthermore, we confirmed that the large area of graphene is continuously monolayer via Raman mapping except in a few defected spots (figure S1(b)).

5.2. Fabrication of Gr/PMMA specimen
A CVD monolayer graphene on Cu foil was spin-coated with PMMA (2000 rpm, 45 s; PMMA A4, Microchem). Then, the PMMA/Gr/Cu sheet was immersed in a Cu etchant (CE-100, Trancene) for 1 hour. Afterwards, the graphene layer supported by PMMA (Gr/PMMA) was transferred to DI water and rinsed thoroughly for three times. Temporary tattoo paper (Silhouette temporary tattoo paper, Silhouette) was used to pick up the Gr/PMMA bilayer in DI water and the Gr/PMMA/tattoo paper was dried on a hot plate at 50 °C for 30 min. The Gr/PMMA on a tattoo paper was manually push-cut into a ribbon shape (2 mm × 35 mm) using a razor blade. Then, it was soaked with DI water and excess Gr/PMMA was peeled off from the tattoo paper. After the Gr/PMMA ribbon on a tattoo paper was dried with a nitrogen gun, it was transferred onto the adhesive side of a 47 μm-thick 3M Tegaderm tape.

5.3. Characterization of electromechanical behavior of Gr/PMMA ribbon
The electromechanical behavior of the Gr/PMMA ribbon (25 mm gauge length and 2 mm width) was analyzed using a customized low-profile stretcher integrated with 1 RPM gear motor (TS-32GZ370-5300, Tsinly) (figure 2(a)). The Gr/PMMA ribbon was clamped at both ends with an Au/PET (100 nm-think...
Au on 13 µm-thick PET) ribbon. The clamps of the stretcher were encapsulated by double-sided tapes. The Au/PET connectors were connected to NI ELVIS II (National Instruments Educational Laboratory Virtual Instrumentation Suite) via alligator clips, and the change of resistance was recorded in situ via NI LabVIEW with a sampling frequency of 50Hz while the Gr/PMMA ribbon was stretched.

5.4. Microstructure analysis of Gr/PMMA
Raman mapping using Renishaw inVia Raman microscope with 442 nm laser was used to reveal the actual strains experienced by graphene at applied strains up to 4%. To observe micro-cracks of graphene and macro-cracks of PMMA, VHX high-power microscope (VHX-5000, Keyence) was used for capturing the optical micrographs at 500× and 2000× magnifications at different strain levels.
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Figure S1. Characterization of commercial CVD-grown graphene under Raman spectroscopy. (a) Three random spots were chosen and the characteristic peaks of the graphene (2D peak and G peak) were measured. The inset figure shows a zoomed-in view of the 2D peaks. (b) Raman mapping was performed within an area of 80 µm x 80 µm of the CVD graphene to construct a contour plot of the ratio of 2D peak intensity over G peak intensity ($I_{2D}/I_G$).

Figure S2. Optical micrographs of the CVD graphene on Cu foil (Gr/Cu) after treating with Ozone. (a) A snapshot of the Gr/Cu, which shows large copper grain boundaries and small graphene grain boundaries (red lines). (b) Zoomed-in view of (a). (c) and (d) shows other regions of the Gr/Cu and some of the grain sizes are labelled.
**Figure S3.** Finite element analysis results of the normal strain in the stretching direction ($\varepsilon_{11}$) in the Gr/PMMA ribbon. Note that most of the Gr/PMMA ribbon experiences $\varepsilon_{11}$ similar to the applied strain.

**Figure S4.** Repeatable electromechanical behavior of four Gr/PMMA ribbons on 3M Tegaderm tapes under tension.
Figure S5. A micrograph of Gr/PMMA on a 3M Tegaderm tape at 16% of applied strain. Macro-cracks of PMMA were generated from the edge and graphene near the PMMA crack tip contained many micro-cracks due to crack tip strain concentration. In contrast, graphene far from the macro-crack of PMMA has fewer and smaller micro-cracks.

Figure S6. Additional micrograph of Gr/PMMA on a 3M Tegaderm tape at 16% of applied strain.
Figure S7. Additional micrograph of Gr/PMMA on a 3M Tegaderm tape at 16% of applied strain.

Figure S8. A global view of a Gr/PMMA ribbon on a 3M Tegaderm tape at fracture (18% of applied strain). Many macro-cracks transverse to the stretching direction are visible.
Figure S9. Loading and unloading tests on a Gr/PMMA ribbon supported by a 3M Tegaderm tape at different applied strains. The inset displays the zoomed-in view for the case when loading up to 3% and unloading.

Figure S10. Loading and unloading tests on the bridged Gr/PMMA supported by a 3M Tegaderm tape at different applied strains.

Supplementary Videos. DOI: 10.17632/522dfg82k7.2
(Supplementary Video 1 and Video 2. Crack propagation of the Gr/PMMA ribbon under tension)
(Supplementary Video 3. Initiation of PMMA crack on Gr/PMMA ribbon stretched from 7% to 8.5%)